

Assessment for Czechia

Status: Draft Plan Date of plan: 29.06.2021




Overall Plan Rating

There are also some indicators rated as not consistent with the just transition. These are marked as red on the traffic light system and should be the foremost priorities to address and improve on. The indicators are organised under their corresponding principles in the Annex to this report.

Performance of TJTP by priciple

Principle 1: The TJTP should be sustainable and deliver on long-term, international and EU
climate commitments such as the Paris Agreement and EU 2030 and 2050 climate
objectives.



Principle 3: The TJTP should lead to sustainable economic diversification at the local, regional and national level.

Principle 4: The TJTP should address social inequalities, improve interregional solidarity, decrease inequalities and tackle injustices.

Principle 5: The TJTP should not harm EU environmental and climate objectives and values.

Principle 6: The TJTP should respect the polluter pays principle.

Principle 7: The TJTP should be supported by adequate, coordinated and long-term public and private funding sources.

Principle 8: The TJTP should be designed, monitored and evaluated through meaningful partnerships which engage all stakeholders in an open, inclusive and ongoing process, especially at local level. Social dialogue and collective bargaining should be central pillars of the transition when it comes to the labour market and enterprises.

Principle 9: The TJTP should take a place-based, local approach to strategy design and implementation.

Principle 10: The TJTP should be developed based on high quality, independent and objective analysis of the challenges and opportunities of the transition for regions.

Breakdown by indicator

Principle 1

The TJTP should be sustainable and deliver on long-term, international and EU climate commitments such as the Paris Agreement and EU 2030 and 2050 climate objectives.

Indicates a commitment to reduce GHG emissions but by < 55% emissions reduction versus 1990 levels by 2030 OR it does not indicate a need to reduce emissions at all

Comments: Czech TJTP is based on national NECP, which points to 40% emission reduction target. Czech TJTP quotes EU goal (emission target to cut CO2 emissions cut of a 55%) as itself, however, it does not indicate how to get there.

ldentifies the opportunities to increase renewable energy in the region and specific actions, projects or targets are proposed

Comments: There are national targets proposed, but they are extremely unambitious.

Does not Identify the opportunities to increase energy efficiency or reduce energy use in the region or nationally Comments: TJTP states the need to reduce energy use and to increase energy efficiency, but does not state how to make it happen.

Principle 2

The TJTP should not lead to prolonged fossil fuel use or promote false solutions to the transition to climate neutrality. As a guide, coal should be phased out by 2030.

- No phase-out of coal is planned or implied
- No phase-out of fossil gas is planned or implied
- Does not propose to phase out fossil fuel subsidies, or even proposes to introduce new or increase existing ones

Principle 3

The TJTP should lead to sustainable economic diversification at the local, regional and national level.

- Promotes economic diversification, but there is no consideration of the need for new industries to be sustainable
- Recognises the value of SMEs and start-ups for economic diversification, but does not set out clearly how it will provide support to develop and incentivise them

Comments: There is certain plan to support SMEs, but the wording is quite vague and unclear. That's why there is an implementation risk. The proportion of money used from JTF by SMEs and by big companies stays undefined.

Indicates a link to and a need for consistency with the NECP, but does not clearly link investments for sustainable economic diversification and decent job creation to it

Principle 4

The TJTP should address social inequalities, improve interregional solidarity, decrease inequalities and tackle injustices.

- Justifies why certain regions should receive support with reference to the underlying economic and employment impacts of the transition, and justifies the choice objective criteria or comparative data
- Does not foresee or prioritise any measures to address, or indicators to measure, equality of opportunity effects
- Identifies some of the existing and future skills, training and education gaps at regional level on the basis of objective and quality skills forecasts, or sets out a process to identify them but focuses on a limited range of sectors or only on workers directly affected by the transition or who have already lost their jobs
- Foresees only insignificant or non-specific actions and investments to address these gaps and ensure sufficient and decent, sustainable and resilient jobs, or focuses only on reskilling workers directly affected by the transition

- Does not consider the quality of new jobs or include measures to address the issue

 Comments: TJTP operates with number of new jobs rather then with quality of new jobs.
- Does not consider social protection and inclusion measures, even if inequalities are recognised
- Does not consider the impact of the transition on quality of life or makes only passive mention to some issues, such as air quality improvements
- fails to recognise the importance of supporting gender equality in the just transition plan

Principle 5

The TJTP should not harm EU environmental and climate objectives and values.

- Does not include activities which would negatively impact environmental and biodiversity indicators relating to:
 - Biodiversity
 - Air pollution
 - Accessible greenspace (land take)
 - Water quality

AND it does not include significantly harmful activities as defined by the EU Taxonomy or which would lead to unsustainable use of natural resources or which would lead to ecosystem harm

AND it includes a comprehensive exclusion list to prevent harmful activities, or defines a measurable sustainability principle or makes provision for the EU Taxonomy to apply to all investments

- Not enough information, but no explicit exclusion of fossil fuel investments
- Identifies actions or possible activities to improve some biodiversity and environmental indicators. These activities and actions cover at least one of the following categories:
 - Biodiversity
 - Air pollution
 - Accessible greenspace (land take)
 - Water quality
- Foresees no new investment in waste incineration or landfill capacity.

AND

Includes or prioritises new activities which would favour a circular, rather than a linear economy and which will not increase greenhouse gas emissions.

Principle 6

The TJTP should respect the polluter pays principle.

The TJTP identifies - or commits to identify - the entities responsible for existing environmental damage, but does not establish how the Polluter Pays Principle will be respected when making investment decisions

Principle 7

The TJTP should be supported by adequate, coordinated and long-term public and private funding sources.

- Relies on EU funds only to deliver the just transition (although not limited to the Just Transition Fund and the Pillar III of the Just Transition Mechanism)
 - AND/OR there is no clear targeting of funds for the most appropriate activities or to address funding gaps
- Private funding sources are not identified and public funding appears to be the sole source of funding identified for the activities and the investments outlined in the TJTP

Principle 8

The TJTP should be designed, monitored and evaluated through meaningful partnerships which engage all stakeholders in an open, inclusive and ongoing process, especially at local level. Social dialogue and collective bargaining should be central pillars of the transition when it comes to the labour market and enterprises.

 A coordination body is established but membership of the coordination body requirements and composition may be unclear.

AND / OR

Details on procedures are vague without transparency on timing and schedules for such meetings, or on how, when or where information on process and relevant documents will be published in an accessible place.

Alternatively the majority of information must be directly requested from the institution responsible rather than openly available.

- Clearly and comprehensively identifies stakeholders, including key groups as identified in the European Code of Conduct on Partnership, but includes no indication of or justification for their roles and status in the process
- No detail on stakeholder engagement plans and no detail on how stakeholder views and consultation inputs were or will be integrated into the final plan
- The TJTP is prepared without public consultation

OR

Consultation and engagement of all stakeholders only occurs when options have been limited, or occurs only with a limited number of stakeholders, or a near-final TJTP is prepared

Tripartite social dialogue is part of the planning process. At least some labour unions and social partners have been engaged in social dialogue during the development of the TJTP. Social dialogue is conducted to address the impacts of the transition on sectors which are phasing out and transforming, but not necessarily for emerging sectors.

Principle 9

The TJTP should take a place-based, local approach to strategy design and implementation.

- Identifies NUTS 3 regions which will require targeted action and prioritises measures for the specific NUTS 3 regions concerned
- Notes the need for community engagement and acknowledges existing or planned community initiatives, but does not indicate how these will be integrated into the TJTP or how the community will be engaged and informed

Principle 10

The TJTP should be developed based on high quality, independent and objective analysis of the challenges and opportunities of the transition for regions.

- The indicators and/or data included in the TJTP are high quality, focused at the regional level and are appropriate to the goals of the fund to address the socioeconomic challenges arising from the transition to climate neutrality, but do not cover all aspects of the just transition, focusing for example on the energy transition only
- The TJTP foresees a revision process by the Member State but the process is vague, for example it does not include a date, or an evaluation of implementation so far

For further information on the TJTP assessment tool

Imke Luebbeke

Head of Climate and Energy, WWF European Polciy Office

Contact

Katie Treadwell

Energy Policy Officer, Climate and Energy team, WWF European Policy Office ktreadwell@wwf.eu

Romain Laugier

Climate Officer, Climate and Energy team, WWF European Policy Office rlaugier@wwf.eu

WWF does not claim responsbility or endorse any assessment produced by this tool unless verified.

WWF European Policy Office, 123 rue du Commerce, 1000 Brussels, Belgium.